THEATER: HISTORY OF SCIENCE

Newton in Three Dimensions

Rebecca Stott1 and Hannah Morrish2

wo hours after the end of the play *Let Newton Be!*, we were still deep in conversation about it in a Cambridge pub. One of us is the author of *Ghostwalk*, a historical thriller about Newton's alchemy (1); the other, a theater student and actress. We went with different expectations and interests but came out equally excited. And we've gone on talking about the play since, as a body of ideas and as a theatrical experience. It deserves to be seen internationally.

Craig Baxter's new play premiered in late October at Newton's old college, Trinity. The performance we attended was introduced by the just-retired Lucasian professor of mathematics, theoretical physicist Stephen Hawking, and by Denis Alexander, director of the Faraday Institute for Religion and Science, which had sponsored the production as part of the celebration of Cambridge University's 800th anniversary and the International Year of Astronomy.

Baxter is unique. A playwright with a degree in zoology, he has been writing drama for 15 years. He has written ten plays, several adaptations, and a brace of radio dramas. But he has come into his own with his return to science in the past five years. His recent work includes *The Altruists*, about 20th-century evolutionary biologists, and *Re:Design*, a daring play based on Darwin's letters, composed entirely from Darwin's

Let Newton Be!

by Craig Baxter;

October 2009.

directed by Patrick Morris

Menagerie Theatre Company,

University of Cambridge, UK.

Faraday Institute for Science

Commissioned by the

and Religion, Cambridge.

words and the words of his correspondents [reviewed in (2)].

Bringing Darwin to the stage was all very well. That was the 19th century, Darwin was liked and prolific, and there were thousands of genial and engaging letters from which Baxter could cut his material. Newton, however, is another country. He was troublesome. He was guarded, secretive, and paranoid. There is no consen-

sus about his personality from his biographers. There are gaps in the historical record. There were few letters for Baxter to work with.

But there were words. Millions of them, from notebooks and personal accounts. The incomparable Newton Project, which over the past ten years has put four million of Newton's

¹School of Literature and Creative Writing, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK. E-mail: rebecca.stott@uea.ac.uk. ²Hills Road Sixth Form College, Cambridge CB2 8PE, UK.



words online (making them widely accessible for the first time), has revolutionized the ways in which we can understand Newton. And Baxter has quarried these millions of words on

theology, alchemy, mathematics, and physics to produce a Newton whom brilliantly he chose to split.

Baxter gives us a trinity of Newtons: the child Isack (played by Caroline Rippin), who runs and jumps and measures and records; the man Newton (played by Neil Jones), at war with himself, contemplative, con-

stantly list-writing, driven, and on the brink of breakdown; and the mature Sir Isaac (played by Paul McCleary), self-possessed master of the mint. It would have been easy to present Newton's life chronologically, one Newton after the other. But Baxter has all three on stage all the time, challenging and questioning one another. That produces a multidimensional Newton—one who is indeed torn among selves, split, divided, energetic, and spilling over, but whom we see as a complete being. The result is a play

that barely contains its own subject. This is the theater of ideas at its very finest. It is mesmerizing, inventive, and provocative.

Director Patrick Morris brilliantly choreographed the actors to orbit one another, constantly recomposing the geometry of a dis-

> ordered mind. The young Newton keeps returning to his desk and to his accounts book, recording and repeating lists-of accounts of sins, of daily routines. This was a Newton who did not know how to stop and who was always preoccupied with theology, with defining a God and defining for God. Somewhere around the middle of the play, the anguished energy spills over into nightmare, and Newton breaks down. He is held down by his servants, only to get up moments later and start all over again. This is intelligent and psychologically perceptive direction, and the result is moving.

> Newton's extraordinary mind is embodied not only in the text and the trinity of selves but also in the wonderfully effective and visually exciting set designed by Issam Kourbaj, artist in residence at Christ's College. The set transforms endlessly using Newton-like contraptions that open out into chairs, then to desks, then

bookcases, then into models of windmills. Flecked with red velvet and the scribblings of the Lucasian professor's handwriting, the set folds and unfolds in and out of itself: windows within windows, wheels within wheels. If Newton's mind could be represented visually, this would be it.

Can theater be a platform for science? In the hands of Baxter, Morris, and Menagerie Theatre—yes. Spectacularly yes. Baxter cuts a man of science from the cloth of his own words, seeking to persuade us that Newton's science was always driven by a belief in an all-powerful and ever-present "God of Dominion." From the ramblings, jottings, accounts, and notebooks—the spillings over of Newton's strange inner world—Baxter creates a unified Newton who will awe and move modern audiences. This is Newton fully in three dimensions.

References

- R. Stott, Ghostwalk (Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London, 2007); reviewed in (3).
- 2. C. Thomas. Science 325, 679 (2009).
- 3. 1. Golinski. *Science* **321**, 40 (2008).

10.1126/science.1183342